UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ERIC TRAVERS, MICHAEL BOUDREAU, JOSEPH COLELLA, CLIFFORD ARPINO, MICHAEL MARINI, and ROCCO MARINI,				
Plainitffs V.	04 12635 RWZ			
CITY OF NEWTON, MASSACHUSETTS, and DAVID B. COHEN, In his capacity as Mayor of the City of Newton, Massachusetts Defendants	RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ 1 SUMMONS ISSUED 1 PC LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM			

COMPLAINT, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

I. INTRODUCTION.

1. This is an action for injunctive and compensatory relief challenging the defendants' unconstitutional system for determining which individuals are hired for entry-level fire fighter positions on the Newton Fire Department. During the last two years, the City has hired approximately 32 fire fighters. The plaintiffs would have been reached for selection but for the fact that the defendants utilized a quota system based on race to determine entry-level hiring. Such a system is not constitutionally permissible. The plaintiffs therefore seek injunctive relief ordering their hiring (after passing all mandatory qualification tests), and appropriate compensatory relief.

II. JURISDICTION.

2. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343 in that this claim arises under the Equal Protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.¹

III. PARTIES.

- 3. The plaintiffs were, at all times relevant hereto, adult residents of the City of Newton, Massachusetts. Each has had a lifelong goal of being a Newton fire fighter and each has the requisite skills and experience to perform the duties of fire fighter in the City of Newton. All of the plaintiffs are Caucasian.
- 4. The defendant City of Newton is a duly incorporated municipality of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and it maintains a fire department.
- 5. The defendant David B. Cohen is the Mayor of the City of Newton and in that capacity is responsible for the overall operation and administration of the City of Newton.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS RELATING TO THE NON-SELECTION OF PLAINTIFFS.

6. In or about 2001, the plaintiffs all took the Massachusetts Civil Service examination for the position of fire fighter in the City of Newton Fire Department. The plaintiffs all received scores of between 89 and 95, out of a total of 100. As a result of their civil service scores, the plaintiffs were ranked on the civil service list in order of their civil service examination score.

_

¹ There is also federal jurisdiction pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e), however that claim is yet to be perfected. Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to add that claim and his Chapter 151B, § 4 claim, as soon as a proper exhaustion has taken place.

- 7. Beginning in 2002, and continuing until the fall of 2004, the City of Newton hired approximately 32 new fire fighters from the civil service list described above. None of the plaintiffs were reached for hire.
- 8. During the several rounds of hiring that took place between 2002 and the fall of 2004, the defendants utilized a system of hiring in which one minority (a black or Hispanic) was automatically grouped with every three Caucasians reached for selection, regardless of that individual's civil service score or ranking. As a result, eight minority candidates were selected for hire, whose examination scores were below the plaintiffs.
- Under the civil service statute, M.G.L. ch.31, the plaintiffs should have 9. been reached for selection before the eight minorities hired for such positions.
- On information and belief, the reason that the defendants hired one 10. minority for every three Caucasians is that it still considers itself bound to do so by a federal court consent decree arising out of Castro v. Beecher, 371 F.Supp. 507 (1974) and NAACP v. Beecher, 504 F.2d 1017 (1st Cir. 1975).
- Pursuant to such decree, the City of Newton was required to select fire 11. fighter candidates for hire from a civil service list which ranked one minority with every three Caucasians, such that every fourth individual reached was a minority candidate. Such hiring was to continue until such time as the number of minority fire fighters in the Newton Fire Department approximated the number of minorities in the City of Newton.
- On information and belief, by 2001, the City of Newton had long since 12. achieved a complement of minority fire fighters in the Fire Department which was greater than the percentage of minorities residing in the City of Newton.

Accordingly, by 2001, no lawful reason existed for the City of Newton to 13. continue to make hiring decisions utilizing a race-based one to three selection formula.

COUNT I

(42 U.S.C. §1983 – Fourteenth Amendment)

The action of the defendants as set forth above in making numerous hiring 14. decisions based on a system which makes race a determinative factor in hiring, and which reserves a specific number of slots for minorities despite their test scores and qualifications, violates plaintiffs' rights to the equal protection of the laws as guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. This claim is asserted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

JURY <u>DEMAND</u>

The plaintiffs request a trial by jury on all of their claims.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray this Honorable Court to:

- Issue a preliminary and permanent injunction forbidding defendants from 1. utilizing an unlawful and unconstitutional selection process which makes race a determinative factor in hiring;
- Order defendants to hire the plaintiffs forthwith with retroactive seniority 2. and back pay; and

Award plaintiffs compensatory relief, back and front pay, and other 3. appropriate damages, along with attorney's fees and costs.

Respectfully submitted.

ERIC TRAVERS, MICHAEL BOUDREAU, JOSEPH COLELLA, CLIFFORD ARPINO, MICHAEL MARINI, and ROCCO MARINI. By their attorneys,

Dated: December $\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}}$, 2004

Harold L. Lichten, BBO #549689 Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg

& Liss-Riordan, P.C. 18 Tremont St., Ste. 500 Boston, MA 02108

(617) 367-7200

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.				ON EACH SIDE ONLY)_	Eric Trave	ers, et al. v. City of Newton,
	<u>Massa</u>	<u>ichus</u>	etts, et al.			
2.	CATEGOR	Y IN W	HICH THE CASE BELOI	IGS BASED UPON THE N	UMBERED NATU	IRE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL
	COVER SH	IEET.	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1	(A)(1)).		THE GIVE
	i.		160, 410, 470, R.23, RE	GARDLESS OF NATURE	OF SUIT.	
	<u>X</u> X II.	•	195, 368, 400, 440, 441 740, 790, 791, 820*, 83	-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 7 0*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894	10, 720, 730, , 895, 950.	*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases
		l .	110, 120, 130, 140, 151 315, 320, 330, 340, 345 380, 385, 450, 891	, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 2 , 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 3	290, 310, 370, 371,	
	iv		220, 422, 423, 430, 460 690, 810, 861-865, 870,	510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 6 871, 875, 900.	40, 650, 660,	o, 5 PML
	v.		150, 152, 153.		~ C	3
J.	TITLE AND HAS BEEN	NUMB FILED	ER, IF ANY, OF RELATE N THIS DISTRICT PLEA	D CASES. (SEE LOCAL F SE INDICATE THE TITLE	RULE 40.4(G)). IF	F MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CASE F THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT.
	N/A				AND HOMBER O	r the FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT,
•	HAS A PRIC	OR ACT	ION BETWEEN THE SA	ME PARTIES AND BASED	ON THE SAME (CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS
					YES	No
	DOES THE	COMPL	AINT IN THIS CASE OF	ESTION THE CONSTITUT		ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
	PUBLIC INT	EREST	? (SEE 28 USC §2403	LOTION THE CONSTITUT	ONALITY OF AN	ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
	IF SO, IS TH	E U.S.	A. ORAN OFFICER, AG	ENT OR EMPLOYEE OF T	YES HE U.S. A PARTY	NO NO
					YES	NO
	IS THIS CAS 28 USC §228	SE REQ 34?	UIRED TO BE HEARD A	ND DETERMINED BY A D	ISTRICT COURT	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE
					YES	No
			RTIES IN THIS ACTION OF MASSACHUSETTS (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.		ENTAL AGENCIE	ES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE NG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE
				•	YES) NO
	A.	1	F YES, IN WHICH DIVIS	ON DO ALL OF THE NON	-GOVERNMENT	AL PARTES RESIDE?
			ASTERN DIVISION	CENTRAL D		WESTERN DIVISION
	В.	1	F NO, IN WHICH DIVISIONS OVERNMENTAL AGEN		THE DI AINTIES	S OD THE ONLY CARTING THE STATE OF THE STATE
			ASTERN DIVISION	CENTRAL D		
.Е	ASE TYPE O					WESTERN DIVISION
			Harold L. Lich	ten	,	
						18 Tremont St., Ste. 500, Bos
			(617) 367-72			MA 02108

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Eric Travers, Colella, Cliff Marini, and Ro (b) County of Residence (EX	ord Arpino, M cco Marini	ichael iddlesex		DEFENDANTS City of Newton, Massachusetts and David B. Cohen, in his capacity as Mayor of the City of Newton, Massachusetts County of Residence of First Listed Middlesex (IN U.S. PLAINTFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nar	ne, Address, and Telephon	c Number)		Attorneys (If Kn	own)		
Harold L. Lichten							
Pyle, Rome, Lichte 18 Tremont St., St Boston, MA 02108	e. 500	& Liss-Riorda 367-7200	an				
II. BASIS OF JURISI					RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				DEF		and One Box for De fendant) DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship in Item III)	zenship of Parties	Citizen	of Another State		nd Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 in Another State	
	in tem inj			or Subject of a gn Country	3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)					
CONTRACT	TO	ORTS	FORFE	TTURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Martine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of □ 154SEMERICARE Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Force losure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 M otor V chicle 355 M otor V chicle 355 M otor V chicle Product Liability 360 Cther Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting XX 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommod ations 444 W elfare 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION 510 M otions to Va cate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 De ath Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	620	Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/M gmt. Relations Labor/M gmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act Other Labor Latigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reap portionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racke teer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer C hallenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determine+Wedjual Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions	
V. ORIGIN XX Original 2 R	emoved from 3 tate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reopen	ted or \square 5 anothe (specified	ctred from r district y)	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Fourteenth Am	endment to the	tute under which you are fits nal statutes unless diversity. E United Stat SISA CLASS ACTIO	ng and write br	of statement of cause.		if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.		., 20,7		JURY DEMAND:	F	
VIII. RELATED CAS. IF ANY	(Sce E(S) instructions):	JUDG E			DOCKET NUMBER		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	/	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF R	Mili			
RECEIPT #	AMOUN	APPLYING IFP	- 	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE	